

1983

Cases Noted - Volume 31 (1992-1993)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Cases Noted - Volume 31 (1992-1993), 31 Duq. L. Rev. 980 (1983).

Available at: <https://dsc.duq.edu/dlr/vol31/iss4/19>

This Additional Content is brought to you for free and open access by Duquesne Scholarship Collection. It has been accepted for inclusion in Duquesne Law Review by an authorized editor of Duquesne Scholarship Collection.

S

| | |
|---|-----|
| THE SCOPE AND LIMITS OF THE INHERITANCE DEFENSE IN CER- CLA | 819 |
| SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND THE REASONABLE WOMAN STAN- DARD: IS IT A VIABLE SOLUTION | 841 |
| STATE IMMUNITY FROM FEDERAL REGULATION-BEFORE AND AF- TER <i>Garcia</i> : How Accurate Was the Supreme Court's Pre- diction in <i>Garcia v SAMTA</i> that the Political Process In- herent in Our System of Federalism Was Capable of Protecting the States Against Unduly Burdensome Federal Regulation | 391 |

W

| | |
|--|-----|
| WHEN TYING ONE ON SHOULDN'T TIE UP THE COURTS: A TOAST TO THE ADOPTION OF COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE STANDARD IN DRAMSHOP ACTIONS INSTIGATED BY OR ON BE- HALF OF THE DRUNK DRIVER | 527 |
| WHO SHOULD RIGHTFULLY DECIDE WHETHER A MEDICAL TREATMENT NECESSARILY INCURRED SHOULD BE EXCLUDED FROM COVERAGE UNDER A HEALTH INSURANCE POLICY PRO- VISION WHICH EXCLUDES FROM COVERAGE "EXPERIMENTAL" MEDICAL TREATMENTS? | 777 |

CASES NOTED

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>Commonwealth v Blouse</i> , Pa , 611 A2d 1177 (1992) | 953 |
| <i>Commonwealth v Eisenhart</i> , Pa , 611 A2d 681 (1992) | 673 |
| <i>Commonwealth v Jarman</i> , Pa , 601 A2d 1229 (1992) | 415 |
| <i>Commonwealth v La Belle</i> , Pa , 612 A2d 418 (1992) | 627 |
| <i>Commonwealth v One (1) 1984 Camaro Coupe</i> , Pa , 610 A2d 36 (1992) | 663 |
| <i>Commonwealth v Santiago</i> , 528 Pa 516, 599 A2d 200 (1991) | 441 |
| <i>Fairfield Township Volunteer Fire Company No. 1 v Penn- sylvania Human Relations Commission</i> , Pa , 609 A2d 804 (1992) | 609 |
| <i>Hatfield v Continental Imports, Inc.</i> , Pa , 610 A2d 446 (1992) | 901 |

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----|
| 1993 | Index | 981 |
| <i>Kraft General Foods, Inc. v Iowa Department of Revenue and Finance</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 2365 (1992) | 859 |
| <i>Lechmere, Inc. v NLRB</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 841 (1992) | 195 |
| <i>Lewis v Chrysler Corporation</i> , | 949 F2d 644 (3d Cir 1991) . . | 231 |
| <i>Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 2886 (1992) | 427 |
| <i>New York v United States</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 2408 (1992) | 877 |
| <i>Randall D. White v Illinois</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 736 (1992) | 209 |
| <i>Riggins v Nevada</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 1810 (1992) . . . | 915 |
| <i>Simon & Schuster, Inc. v Members of the New York State Crime Victims Board, et al.</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 501 (1991) | 401 |
| <i>United States v Alvarez-Machain</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 2188 (1992) | 939 |
| <i>Walton v Avco Corp.</i> , | Pa , 610 A2d 454 (1992) . . | 645 |

RECENT DECISIONS—TITLES

C

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| CIVIL PROCEDURE—ADMISSIBILITY OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS—42 PA CONS STAT ANN § 6141—“MARY CARTER AGREEMENTS”— <i>Hatfield v Continental Imports, Inc.</i> , | Pa , 610 A2d 446 (1992) | 901 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—CONFRONTATION CLAUSE—UNAVAILABILITY OF OUT-OF-COURT DECLARANT— <i>Randall D. White v Illinois</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 736 (1992) | 209 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—DUE PROCESS CLAUSE—LIBERTY INTEREST OF CRIMINAL DEFENDANT— <i>Riggins v Nevada</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 1810 (1992) | 915 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—FIFTH AMENDMENT—EMINENT DOMAIN—REGULATORY TAKING— <i>Lucas v South Carolina Coastal Council</i> , | US , 112 S Ct 2886 (1992) . . . | 427 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL LAW—FIFTH AMENDMENT—RIGHT TO COUNSEL—CUSTODIAL INTERROGATION— <i>Commonwealth v Santiago</i> , | 528 Pa 516, 599 A2d 200 (1991) | 441 |